SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY ATLANTIC COUNTY/CRIMINAL DIVISION INDICTMENT NO. 13-07-1875 APPEAL NO.

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

STENOGRAPHIC TRANSCRIPT

v. :

: OF BAIL HEARING

MICHAEL CASTRO, :

Defendant. :

PLACE: Atlantic County Courthouse

4997 Unami Boulevard Mays Landing, NJ 08330

DATE: September 19, 2013

BEFORE:

HONORABLE BERNARD E. DeLURY, JR.

TRANSCRIPT ORDERED BY:

OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL, CAMDEN COUNTY

APPEARANCES:

JOHN V. MAHER, ASSISTANT PROSECUTOR (ATLANTIC COUNTY PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE) Attorney for the State

DOUGLAS L. CODY, ESQUIRE (CODY LAW FIRM)
Attorney for the Defendant

ADELE TALASNIK, CCR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER CRIMINAL COURT COMPLEX 4997 UNAMI BOULEVARD MAYS LANDING, N.J. 08330 Colloquy

1 THE COURT: All right. Let's call State v. 2 Michael Castro, Indictment 13-07-1875 for status 3 conference and motions. Counsel, may I have your 4 appearance please? 5 MR. MAHER: Good morning, Judge, John Maher 6 on behalf of the State. 7 MR. CODY: Good morning, your Honor. 8 Cody from Cody Law Firm, Hammonton, New Jersey, on 9 behalf of the defendant Michael Castro. 10 THE COURT: Thank you, counselors. Let me summarize our chambers conference and then we'll 11 12 In chambers we did discuss the status of 13 discovery. I reviewed with counsel Mr. Cody's 14 correspondence on the subject together with Mr. Maher's 15 memoranda that indicated the steps that he has taken so 16 far in order to bring discovery up-to-date. ongoing State's investigation in order to secure responses to many of Mr. Cody's requests, and my thinking is to give it an additional four-week adjournment for discovery purposes so that Mr. Maher can complete his supplemental and then provide them as appropriate. Once Mr. Cody has received that, I would then ask the parties to agree a short list of matters still in disagreement for submission to the court for my determination and then I would just call it on the

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

papers at that point, gentlemen, if there is anything 1 2 of substance left after the State has completed its 3 investigation on the issue of discovery. I also understand that there may be further trial preparation 4 5 discovery that will present itself once Mr. Maher 6 completes his interviews with people who may or may not 7 be associated with the case, and then providing those 8 supplementals to Mr. Cody may require additional time 9 for defense investigation which of course the court 10 will entertain. Mr. Cody has also informed the court that there may be motions for the court to consider. 11 12 First would be a motion to dismiss the indictment. 13 Once the discovery is complete, he'll be in a position 14 to evaluate the probity of such a motion, and then a 15 motion to suppress any statements made allegedly by the 16 defendant to the police in the course of investigation. 17 And at our next status conference I'll get the parties 18 a scheduling order for those motions. I believe that 19 correctly summarizes our discussion in chambers. 20 Anything to add, State? 21 MR. MAHER: Nothing, Judge. 22 THE COURT: Anything to add, defense? 23 MR. CODY: No, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Thank you. And then I also 25 believe Mr. Cody has an application on the subject of

bail. I have it here in front of me. I'll be happy to 1 2 hear you, sir. MR. CODY: Thank you, your Honor. We're 3 asking the court to grant an order reducing the amount 4 of bail posted for release to \$275,000 or less. 5 6 Obviously with the option to post bail by a surety bond 7 or bail bond. We look at 3:26-1 under State v. Johnson, the factors thereunder, Mr. Castro has a 8 9 residence available to him in New Jersey through his 10 stepfather Mr. Wallowitz (phonetic) who submitted an 1.1 affidavit along with the bail brief, that he's a 12 retired Chief Master Sergeant in the air force, has 13 known Mr. Castro his entire life. He's capable of 14 providing not only residence, but employment. 15 Mr. Castro has no prior criminal conviction. 16 He was in the area of New Jersey, in fact, within 17 several miles of his previous home for a period of 18 about eight months after the alleged homicide in this 19 case and returned home to Florida after that eight-20 month period. He was arrested some 14 months after 21 this homicide and he was -- he waived extradition back 22 here to New Jersey at his first opportunity. 23 affidavits and certifications that were submitted 24 provide evidence of the very strong family support 25 structure. He has a daughter that obviously he's very

close with, you have certifications from his mother, 1 2 his stepfather and his fiancee. They are responsible 3 members for the community vouching for his reliability. And, in addition, this is a case where there is not a 4 5 likelihood of conviction which would compel a greater 6 bail amount. 7 As we discussed all of the evidence that's 8 presented in the State's responsive brief and 9 affidavit, it is essentially circumstantial, there's no 10 confession or admission by the defendant in this case 11 or eyewitness testimony. There's no forensic evidence 12 or scientific evidence connecting the defendant to the 13 scene weapon nor to the weapon. The State does not 14 possess a murder weapon, and virtually all the 15 circumstantial evidence that is listed by the 16 prosecution in its response brief is either 17 contradicted by other evidence or lacks sufficient 18 credibility to give the Court an indication that 19 there's a likelihood of conviction in this case. And 20 obviously we're not here to try the case at a bail 21 hearing, but that's a factor under Johnson the Court 22 should consider. There's nothing particular about this 23 case that would indicate that the bail should be set so

high the defendant has no reasonable chance of securing

his release, and unless the amount which is set is set

24

Colloquy 6

in such a way that it is bondable, there's no practical way the defendant would be able to be released prior to his trial in this matter.

1.3

2.4

And finally, I believe that the State cites the incorrect bail schedule in this matter. My understanding is that the defendant is essentially at maximum under the bail schedules that are operative now at \$1,100,000 and we'd ask that that amount be reduced to \$275,000 or less.

THE COURT: Mr. Cody, thank you for your argument. State.

MR. MAHER: Judge, with respect to the bail guidelines, I have checked. We have been operating under the guidelines that were, I guess, distributed by Judge Donio and indicate after July of 2012, the bail guidelines were amended to increase the amounts of all bails but including murder. And I actually called the Administrative Office of the Courts and they indicated that that's still in the proposal stage. I believe we've been operating on it for about a year-and-a-half, all the judges, in considering bail, but the current guidelines are actually those that were submitted or promulgated on May 12, 2009. So as an officer of the Court, I am going to advise the Court that I do believe that the maximum, and these are only guidelines, Judge,

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

the bail with guidelines now for homicide, notwithstanding what was sent out by the AOC and given to everybody, are still between 250 and a million. Now, Judge, with respect to the facts of this case, I did submit a brief where I outlined in detail the facts of the case. We did discuss them in chambers, but I submit, Judge, that the case, although circumstantial against Mr. Castro, is strong. I submit that the fact that he waived extradition should really have no bearing because, as your Honor knows, extradition is basically a formality. If you fight extradition, all you're going to do is just increase the amount of time before you get brought back to New Jersey and face the charges that you've been charged with, so I don't believe that should have any weight. My brief indicates that Mr. Castro does in fact have prior arrests, but no convictions. arrested in Georgia in 2005 and 2006, in Texas in 2005, and he was prosecuted under the Universal Code of Military Justice in 2004. The reason I bring these things up is because they do show involvement with the criminal justice system, but, more importantly, they show involvement with the system other than in the

jurisdiction of New Jersey. He also, as I indicated in

my brief, from looking at his driver abstract, has

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

several failures to appear in traffic court. I know they're not serious, but they do at least show evidence of ignoring judicial process, albeit for minor traffic offenses, but they do indicate what they indicate. family -- I have read Mr. Cody's brief -- there are family members who submit affidavits basically saying that Mr. Castro is basically a good guy, he could never have committed a murder. That's not evidence. I submit that in many cases family members will feel that way. They do indicate that they will keep an eye on him if he is released. Well, that's a question for, I guess, in all cases. We have to evaluate what we're looking at here and determine whether or not the defendant is in fact a flight risk, and based on the facts of the case, Judge, I believe the likelihood of conviction is strong.

We did go into the facts in chambers and they are included in my brief, and there were certain things that in our discussion that came up that I think I should refer to. It's true that the defendant was not charged by way of complaint until April of 2013, and that was a significant period of time after the homicide in this case, but it's important to note that in this particular case Megan Bailey, who is the defendant's fiancee, was not interviewed and a sworn

1 tape recorded statement taken from her until March 22, 2 2013, and at that point in time, because what she told 3 the police differed so markedly from what Mr. Castro 4 had told the police on his whereabouts on the day of 5 the homicide, it was decided that that was basically the linchpin, the last piece of evidence that the State 6 7 felt was necessary in order to charge in this 8 particular case. The case basically indicates that 9 Mr. Castro was suffering -- was in financial distress. 10 We believe that we do have evidence to indicate that in 11 the affidavit that was supplied with my brief, among 12 other things, we have information that Mr. Castro had 1.3 submitted checks to Glenn Kingsbury in his business 14 that had bounced totaling about \$8,000, that he had purchased gym equipment in the amount of \$12,000 that 16 had never been paid for, and at the time of this 17 incident, this murder, the business account of the business that Mr. Castro operated had just a few 19 dollars in it, less than maybe \$11 or \$12. So on the date in question, there was numerous text messages and phone calls between Mr. Castro and members of the Kingsbury household that basically, in our opinion, 23 were in an attempt to find out if anybody was still in the house, we believe that Mr. Castro having been an employee of -- Judge, I think I'm kind of wandering

15

18

20

21

22

2.4

around here, but I did submit all of these facts in my affidavit, I don't want to go over everything because, as Mr. Cody indicated, it's not a mini-trial, but the facts show that Glenn Kingsbury ran a business where large amounts of cash were basically brought back from various cheer competitions on weekends. That cash would be in the premises that Mr. Castro had been to many times because he worked for the Kingsbury Cheer business, he knew that the cash was there.

On the date in question, on the date of the homicide, there were numerous telephonic and text messages between Mr. Castro and Mr. Glenn Kingsbury's girlfriend, Karen Drew, as opposed to just two voice calls that were noted in our discussion earlier being at 11:17 and 11:09 a.m., there were also text messages between Mr. Castro and Miss Drew at 11:22 a.m., 11:38 a.m., 11:40 a.m., 11:59 a.m., 12:28 p.m., 2:19 p.m. and again at 2:19 p.m., so those messages actually were within the time frame of the homicide, and the cell site information that was referred to earlier as being consistent with Mr. Castro being in the area of the homicide at the time of the homicide, I submit, is borne out by the record that the State has at this point in time.

As your Honor is aware, I note from the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

State's brief that the weapon that was used to commit the homicide in this particular case was never recovered, but the weapon that was used has been identified because Mr. Castro's business partner, one Lauren Cole, possessed a weapon, and that weapon has never been recovered, but when she was on vacation in Florida, when she came home from vacation, after the homicide in this case, she discovered that that weapon There was no evidence in her house, had been taken. according to her, of any kind of burglary or forcible entry, but that gun in fact was gone. A search warrant was performed and the box that that gun was sold in was recovered and there was a spent test shell casing in That shell casing was sent to the state police lab and compared to the shell casings that were found on the scene, it was determined that the same gun would have to have been Lauren Cole's, that's her name, that was Mr. Castro's business partner, had to be her gun that had in fact killed John Kingsbury. So because of the fact that the only person that we're aware of who had access to Lauren Cole's house by way of key was Mr. Castro, and in fact we have text messages indicating he in fact was in her house picking up checks for the business that they ran in Hammonton at or around the time of the homicide leads us to believe

1 that he was the only person who had access to the 2 weapon that was used to kill Mr. Kingsbury. He had 3 motive, and we have evidence that he was in the area of 4 the murder at the time of the murder. In addition to 5 that, there was also his car which is a metallic blue 6 Kia, witnesses -- and it's in the affidavit of Sergeant 7 Mattioli that's attached to my moving papers --8 witnesses in the area, and I will state that the area 9 is a rural area that does not have much traffic through 10 it, and witnesses in that area who take notice 11 apparently of everything that goes on in that area 12 identified a car by way of a color as being metallic 13 blue which is similar to Mr. Castro's car, and I submit 14 that it's not the type of car that is commonplace. 15 So, Judge, that's basically the State's case; 16 it's a case of circumstantial evidence. We don't have 17 any witnesses that Mr. Castro in fact committed this 18 homicide, but I submit that we have strong 19 circumstantial proofs to show that he had motive, 20 opportunity to do it, and I think, Judge, under all the 21 circumstances, that the bail is appropriate as set. 22 THE COURT: Thank you, counselors. Chris, 23 would you just check the bail screen for me and let me 24 know what the status is. 25 THE CLERK: \$1,100,000.

THE COURT: And any conditions set by the
Court previously on the bail?

MR. MAHER: Judge, if I may, I have the

original copies of the complaints if you want me to show -- if you want us to approach. Judge Baker did set one million on the bail, and then on a separate complaint charging weapons offenses, he set an additional hundred thousand dollars, which is little out of the ordinary.

THE COURT: I want to see if it had been blanketed or anything else. So it's one million on the one warrant and a hundred thousand on the other.

This is a bail review regarding Michael
Castro being held in default of total bail of
\$1,100,000 on complaints now subsumed by Indictment
13-07-1875. The Court has considered the briefs
submitted by both sides and the arguments of counsel
here today, and in reviewing the Johnson factors I
conclude as follows: This is a serious offense, most
serious obviously in our code as a crime of the
first-degree murder. Upon conviction, the presumption
of imprisonment does apply, the minimum sentence is 30
do 30, maximum is life. That balance is in favor of
the State that it would be a sentence that the
defendant would seek to avoid if he could. On the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

likelihood of conviction or extent of punishment, I've discussed that likelihood of conviction in this case. Without performing a mini-trial that both sides have said they don't want to do today, nor do I, it does appear to be a circumstantial case and there are certainly arguments and circumstances on both sides that would abide trial rather than bail review, that factor is in equipoise.

The criminal record of this defendant, he has no serious record. He has no criminal record. He has minor non-judicial punishment in his past. When associated with the military, has some failures to appear with respect to minor traffic offenses. reputation in the community appears to be good. reviewed all the submitted letters in support from family members and friends, and those weigh in his favor. He does have ties to the local community as evidenced by that. I do take note of the fact, however, that he does have significant ties out of state in the State of Florida where he was found and returned here on a warrant. Does appear to have a record of employment, otherwise appears to be a responsible member of the community. I've considered the bail schedules as well. Mr. Maher is correct, the bail guidelines that have been distributed by the Court

1 are still in proposed status. I have been using the 2 '09 schedules myself, and the high end of the bail 3 range for murder is \$1,000,000, starts at \$250,000 4 rather than the \$3,000,000 range which is suggested by 5 the proposed guidelines. I will adjust bail because it 6 is outside of the current guidelines, and in view of 7 the fact that this defendant does not have a prior 8 criminal record and the case does appear to be 9 circumstantial. However, a substantial bail is 10 required in order to ensure his presence for further 11 proceedings. Bail will be adjusted to \$800,000. 12 monitoring is required. Work, worship, medical, court 13 appearances, not to leave the state or Atlantic County 14 without court permission. No contact with any victim 15 survivors or witnesses in the case except through 16 counsel. Set the matter down for a further status 17 conference on I can either give you October 21st or the 18 23rd, counselors. How do your calendars look? 19 MR. MAHER: I'm fine with either one, Judge. 20 MR. CODY: Judge, October 23rd, I think, 21 would be good. 22 THE COURT: Set it down for October 23rd. 23 other changes to the bond condition and make it 24 blanket. It's on the indictment now, so it doesn't 25 have to be. Okay.

Case 1:13-cv-07912-NLH-JS Document 11-2 Filed 02/18/14 Page 16 of 16 PageID: 150 Colloquy 16

MR. CODY: Judge, may I require is that cash 1 2 only or is that bond? 3 THE COURT: It's bondable, cash or bond. 4 saw it was full cash, it didn't say cash only on the 5 original warrants, I believe, but every judge seems to 6 use a different phrase, no 10 percent, okay. right, thank you, counselors. 7 8 MR. CODY: Thank you, your Honor. 9 MR. MAHER: Appreciate it. 10 (At this time the matter was concluded) ***** 11 12 13 CERTIFICATION 14 15 I, ADELE TALASNIK, C.S.R., License Number X101087, an 16 Official Court Reporter in and for the State of New 17 Jersey, do hereby certify the foregoing to be prepared 18 in full compliance with the current Transcript Format 19 for Judicial Proceedings and is a true and accurate 20 non-compressed transcript to the best of my knowledge 21 and ability. 22 23 24 Atlantic County Courthouse